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Molecular Dynamics (MD)

**Molecular Dynamics**

A thermodynamic calculation method based on the theory of Newtonian mechanics.

Based on solving the equations of motion of all the particles in the system, thermodynamic quantities and other macroscopic properties of system can be obtained.

**Application fields**

MD simulation has been widely used in various fields of physical, chemical, biological, materials, medicine, etc.

We aim at the simulation of **Metal Microscopic Damage under the environment of irradiation**. We use MD to simulate the defect generation caused by cascade collision.

**Simulation scales**

**Temporal Scale:**
Since the time step of MD is very short (typically at femtosecond scale), the temporal scale of MD simulation is generally limited to nanoseconds or less.

**Spatial Scale:**
Up to $10^{12}$ atoms as far as we know (Ls1-MarDyn).

There is still a big gap between simulation results and physical phenomena due to the limitation of simulation scales.
Kinetic Monte Carlo (KMC)

**Kinetic Monte Carlo, KMC**

A very popular algorithm to simulate the dynamics of stochastic process.

It is an efficient tool for exercising the combined actions of fundamental, stochastic, and physical mechanisms.

**Application fields**

KMC has been widely applied in the simulations of materials micro-damage, grain growth, and film-forming.

In our simulation, we use KMC to simulate the defect evolution and vacancies clustering.

**Simulation scales**

**Temporal Scale:**
Simulate in the unit of “event”, rather than “time step”. Thus, the temporal scale can go up to **days** or more.

**Spatial Scale:**
Commonly larger than the spatial scale of MD.
MD vs KMC

**MD**
- F=ma
- **Deterministic method**
- Real dynamics
- Many realistic processes are in accessible.
- **Time step is very short**, and thus very high computation complexity.

**KMC**
- Stochastic Process
- **Non-deterministic method**
- **Sampling method for large temporal scale**
- Need all diffusion barrier a priori.
- KMC steps in REAL TIME and ALL EVENTS accept.
A single model usually only performs well at a narrow temporal and spatial range. For example, MD commonly simulates millions to billions of atoms at picoseconds to nanoseconds.

Large-scale simulation has high computation complexity and requires a great amount of computing resources. Although the supercomputers are becoming more powerful, the existing software lag behind because of the high effort of code porting and optimization on new machines.

We use a coupled MD-KMC approach intending to achieve both high temporal and spatial scales.

MD simulates the defect generation caused by collision cascades, and outputs the coordinates of vacancy and the information of atoms. KMC simulates the defect evolution and clustering.

We optimize our coupled MD-KMC code on Sunway TaihuLight supercomputer, and resort to its rich computing resources to conduct large-scale microdamage simulation.
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The simulation is based on the interactions whose range is comparatively short, namely short-range forces. Thus, only the atoms within a specific cutoff radius interact with the central atom.

Two data structures are commonly used to find the neighbor atoms for MD: neighbor list and linked cell, i.e. LAMMPS uses neighbor list and IMD uses linked cell structure.
Neighbor list establishment for MD

**Neighbor list**
1. Each atom maintains a list to store all the neighbor atoms within a distance which is equal to the cutoff radius plus a skin distance.
2. The memory consumption of neighbor list is costly.
3. $O(N^2)$ complexity for each iteration of update.
4. The neighbor atoms should be updated after several time steps.

**Linked cell**
1. Linked cell divides the simulation box into cubic cells, whose edge length is equal to the cutoff radius.
2. Linked cell ensures that all interaction partners for any given atom are located either within the cell of itself or the surrounding neighbor cells.
3. $O(N N_c)$ complexity for each iteration of update, where $N_c$ is the average number of particles in each cell and $N_c << N$.
4. Linked cell should update the atoms within each cell at each time step, which leads to poor computation efficiency.
A novel lattice neighbor list data structure

In the simulation only a few atoms break the constrain and run away from the lattice point. According to this phenomena, we propose a new data structure, called lattice neighbor list to store the atoms.
We rank the atoms in the order of their spatial distribution. The information of the atoms is **sequentially stored** in an array in the order of the atoms ranks.

It’s easy to calculate the indexes of the neighbor atoms in the array for a central atom.

All the possible neighbor atoms of atom 9 are within the black box.

For each central atom, the offsets of the neighbor atoms relative to the central atom are the same.
A novel lattice neighbor list data structure

We use the linked list structure to store the run-away atoms.

✓ When an atom runs away from the lattice point, it generates a vacancy.
✓ We allocate another memory space to store the information of the run-away atom, and leave the original entry in the array to record the coordinates of the vacancy.
✓ The run-away atom is linked to its nearest neighbor atom.

Overall, compared with the traditional neighbor list and linked cell structures, our lattice neighbor list structure significantly reduces the memory and computation cost, since it does not have to maintain the extra structures and finds most of the neighbor atoms by static indexes.
Sunway many-core processor

Sunway TaihuLight Supercomputer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cores</td>
<td>10,649,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linpack Performance (Rmax)</td>
<td>93,014.6 TFlop/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical Peak (Rpeak)</td>
<td>125,436 TFlop/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nmax</td>
<td>12,288,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>1,310,720 GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processor</td>
<td>Sunway SW26010 1.45GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Many-core architecture</td>
<td>4 CGs(each CG 1MPE+8*8CPEs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slave core</td>
<td>64KB local store</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sunway SW26010 many-core architecture
The traditional interpolation table (size: 5000*7)

a) **The interpolation table is used to calculate the EAM potential.**

b) Each traditional interpolation table is a 5000*7 2D array in double precision.

c) The table is about 273 KB, which exceeds the size of local store (64 KB) on each slave core. Thus, **frequent DMA operations** are necessary.

---

The **compacted interpolation table** (size: 5000*1)

A. **We only load the compacted table (39 KB) into the local store at one time.**

B. The final value is calculated online using a specific interpolation formula (cubic spline interpolation).

C. The memory consumption is reduced by 7 folds, although higher computation complexity.
Reduce the overhead of atom information transfer

Since our simulation has large spacial scale, the atoms information of one slab cannot be loaded into the local store at one time either. To reduce the overhead of atoms information transfer, we propose two methods:

(1) Ghost data reuse
For the atoms information of one block, the data on the edge of the block is actually the ghost data for the next block. Thus, we keep the ghost data in the local store and reuse it in the next block, which reduces the overhead of data transfer to some extent.

(2) Double buffer
Each slave core allocates two buffers on local store for the input and output data of each block. While carrying out DMA put or get on one buffer, it computes the potential or force on the other buffer, and vice versa. The data transfer and computation are overlapped.
Introduction

MD optimization

KMC optimization

Performance evaluation

Conclusion and future work
Kinetic Monte Carlo

1. Initialize the simulation lattice, sites (atoms and vacancies) data.

2. Calculating the transition probability for the events, generate a random number to select an event to occur.

3. Perform the event, exchange sites data, update the transition probability.

4. Accumulate $dt$ to $t$, and determine whether to end conditions (whether $t$ reaches the threshold).

The flowchart of KMC algorithm.
The algorithm is parallelized based on domain decomposition.

The simulation domain is a 3d box, consists of many lattice sites.

For 3D simulation box, processes are arranged to 3d topology to minimize surface area of each subdomain.
Communication optimization for parallel KMC

Communication overhead is the performance bottleneck
✓ The proportion of communication time raises rapidly.
✓ Communication congestion.
✓ Communication redundancy.

Optimization approach
✓ Shared-memory communication
✓ On-demand communication strategy
The number of cores per intra-node has been increasing rapidly.

Shared-memory method has a less memory copies than the traditional intra-node point-to-point communication.

Reducing the memory copy overhead of the intra-node communication.

We choose to use the `MPI_Win_allocate_shared` and `MPI_Win_shared_query` functions, which allow us to directly replace the send and receive operations with memory copies.
The on-demand communication strategy changes the traditional static communication pattern to a dynamic communication pattern, namely the data to be transferred and the communication object are determined at runtime according to the simulation system status. This can eliminate the communication redundancy.

The processor adds the new ghost sites and boundary sites to the send queue, and packages those sites data which have the same destination.

Then sends the data of sending queue to the corresponding neighboring processors using MPI_isend().

Lastly, using MPI Probe to query the message sites and the receiver can receive the actual message using MPI_recv().

(a) 2d domain partition for 9 processors
(b) Get the latest ghost sites from neighbor processes
(c) Put the ghost sites back to the neighbor processes
(d) On-demand communication
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# Experimental environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Machine name</strong></th>
<th>Sunway TaihuLight supercomputer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Processors</strong></td>
<td>Sunway SW26010 260C 1.45GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OS</strong></td>
<td>customized 64-bit Linux kernel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MPI version</strong></td>
<td>SWCC Compilers Version 5.421-sw-495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Application</strong></td>
<td>Fe metal material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>temperature</strong></td>
<td>600K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of cores</strong></td>
<td>Tens of cores to six million cores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of sites</strong></td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^7$ to $1.024 \times 10^{12}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Examine the different optimization methods for MD

✓ Firstly, we compare the strong scaling for MD simulation using each optimization method with $2 \times 10^7$ atoms.

✓ The Compacted Table exhibits much better performance than traditional table due to less DMA operations.

✓ Ghost data reuse further improves the performance by 4% on average.

✓ Double buffer does not bring obvious performance improvement, since there is not enough computation to overlap the data transfer.
We use both master and slave cores to show strong scaling of our optimized MD simulation method. Most communication operations are responsible for the master cores and the main computations are performed by the slave cores. Running with $3.2 \times 10^{10}$ and scaling from 97,500 cores to 6,240,000 cores, we achieve 26.4-fold speedup or nearly 41.3% parallel efficiency.
On-demand communication for KMC

Communication volume comparison.

Communication time comparison.

Compared with the traditional method, the on-demand communication strategy obtains **21x speedup** on average in terms of communication time.
Next we test the parallel performance and scalability of KMC simulations from strong scaling.

The baseline run used 1,500 cores with $3.2 \times 10^{10}$ sites, our algorithm exhibits 18.5-fold speedup on 48,000 cores, indicating 58.2% parallel efficiency in strong scaling.
We perform weak scaling experiments of MD simulation.

As we increase the number of cores from 104,000 (1,600 master cores + 1,024,000 slave cores) to 6,656,000 (102,400 master cores + 6,553,600 slave cores), the problem size increases from $6.25 \times 10^{10}$ to $4.0 \times 10^{12}$.

The experiment result indicates the MD code scaled up to 6 million cores by a 85% parallel efficiency.
Weak scaling of the optimized KMC

- The Figure shows the weak scalability results ranging from 1,600 cores up to 102,400 cores.
- We observe that the change of computation time exhibits a nearly linear, and the communication time has increased somewhat.
- We also see that our optimized KMC code achieves 74% parallel efficiency over a 64-fold increase in sites size, from 1,600 cores to 102,400 cores.
Lastly, we study the weak scaling of the coupled MD-KMC with $3.3 \times 10^5$ atoms per core group, and set the number of simulation atoms to $5.0 \times 10^8$, $2.9 \times 10^9$, $8.0 \times 10^9$ and $3.2 \times 10^{10}$ running on 97,500, 390,000, 1,560,000 and 6,240,000 cores.

The coupled MD-KMC method achieves very good scalability, attaining 75.7% parallel efficiency.
The simulation results

Furthermore, in order to demonstrate the ability of the coupled MD-KMC approach to perform large spatial scale and time scale on-lattice applications.

✓ We conducted a simulation of microdamage evolution in Fe metal material with $3.2 \times 10^{10}$ atoms on 6,240,000 cores in 19.2 days temporary scale.
✓ The figure shows the distribution of Fe atoms (red) and vacancies (white) before and after KMC simulation.
✓ The evolution of the system after MD simulation is shown in Figure (a) and Figure (b).
✓ We can see that after the KMC phase, the vacancies are relatively more aggregative and vacancy clusters are forming.
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Conclusion and Future work

1. Conclusion
   ✓ We implement a scalable MD-KMC algorithm to simulate the microscopic damage of metal material (Fe) on Sunway TaihuLight supercomputer.
   ✓ We achieve excellent parallel scalability and high efficiency for both algorithms.

2. Future work
   ✓ To support multiple types of atoms, such as Fe, Cu, Mn, Ni.
   ✓ To extend our approach to future Exascale Supercomputers, and simulate in larger spatial-temporal scale for the coupled model.
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